

Total Fractional-Order Variation Model

Yue Zhang

This review is based on the paper 'A Total Fractional-Order Variation Model for Image Restoration with Non-homogeneous Boundary Conditions and its Numerical Solution' by Jianping Zhang, Ke Chen (2015).

October 8, 2015

Outline

Model

Algorithms

A First Look

- ▶ **Total α -order variation model:**

$$\min_{u \in BV^\alpha(\Omega)} \{E(u) := TV^\alpha(u) + \frac{\lambda}{2} F(u)\}, \quad F(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u - z|^2 dx.$$

Where

$$TV^\alpha(u) := \sup_{\phi \in K} \int_{\Omega} (-u \operatorname{div}^\alpha \phi) dx, \quad \text{with } \operatorname{div}^\alpha \phi = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x_i^\alpha}$$

- ▶ When $u \in W_1^\alpha(\Omega)$, $TV^\alpha(u) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla^\alpha u| dx$.

Fractional Derivative

Theorem

The Abels integral equation, with,

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x \frac{\psi(\tau)}{(x-\tau)^{1-\alpha}} d\tau = f(x), \quad x > 0$$

has the solution given by the formula

$$\psi(x) = \frac{1}{\tau(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dx} \int_0^x \frac{f(\tau)}{(x-\tau)} d\tau, \quad x > 0.$$

With the help of this theorem, we can then define the left, right sided and the central Riemann-Liouville (R-L) derivative:

$$D_{[a,x]}^{\alpha} f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^n \int_a^x \frac{f(\tau)}{(x-\tau)^{\alpha-n+1}}$$

$$D_{[x,b]}^{\alpha} f(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^n \int_a^x \frac{f(\tau)}{(\tau-x)^{\alpha-n+1}}$$

$$D_{[a,b]}^{\alpha} f(x) = \frac{1}{2} (D_{[a,x]}^{\alpha} f(x) + (-1)^n D_{[x,b]}^{\alpha} f(x))$$

Other Fractional Derivative Definitions

- ▶ Grunwald-Letnikov (G-L) left-sided derivative is denoted by:

$$GD_{[a,x]}^{\alpha} f(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h^{\alpha}} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{x-a}{h} \rfloor} (-1)^j \binom{\alpha}{j} f(x - jh),$$

$$\text{where } \binom{\alpha}{j} = \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\dots(\alpha-j+1)}{j!},$$

- ▶ The third definition is the Caputo order α derivative defined by

$$CD_{[a,x]}^{\alpha} f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_a^x \frac{f^{(n)}(\tau)}{(x-\tau)^{\alpha-n+1}}$$

$$CD_{[x,b]}^{\alpha} f(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_a^x \frac{f^{(n)}(\tau)}{(\tau-x)^{\alpha-n+1}}$$

$$CD_{[a,b]}^{\alpha} f(x) = \frac{1}{2} (CD_{[a,x]}^{\alpha} f(x) + (-1)^n CD_{[x,b]}^{\alpha} f(x))$$

Properties

- ▶ Linearity.

$$D_{[a,x]}^\alpha(pf(x) + qg(x)) = pD_{[a,x]}^\alpha f(x) + qD_{[a,x]}^\alpha g(x)$$

- ▶ Singularity. Assume that $D_{[a,x]}^\alpha$ is one of the above three fractional-order derivative operators. For any non-integer $\alpha > 0$ and $x > a$, there exists a non-constant value function $f(\tau)$ in $(a, x]$ such that $D_{[a,x]}^\alpha f(x) \neq 0$. For example, $f(\tau) = (x - 2\tau)(x - \tau)^\alpha$ will give $D_{[0,x]}^\alpha f(x) = 0$ in Abel's inverse transform.
- ▶ Boundary conditions: we need to assume **zero** Dirichlet boundary condition, otherwise there is a singularity at the end point. However, if we have nonzero Dirichlet boundary conditions, one solution could be to extract off a linear approximation $g(x)$ (that coincides with f at $x = a, b$) and to consider $D_{[a,x]}^\alpha(f(x) - g(x))$.

Theoretical Results

We may skip the third part of the paper (3 pages), which clarifies some theoretical/classical results. Basically, it says W_p^α embedded with $\|\cdot\|_{W_p^\alpha}$ is a Banach space (this is basic guarantee of any iterative approximation algorithms) and the proposed model has a unique minimizer (convexity of energy functional $E(u)$).

Boundary Conditions

In order to use deal with non-zero Dirichlet boundary conditions (which is almost always the case in real world), an auxiliary function is introduced.

- ▶ In 1D case, if $u(0) = a$, $u(1) = b$, then introduce $e(x) = a(1 - x) + bx$ and take $\hat{u}(x) = u(x) - e(x)$, then we achieve

$$\hat{u}(0) = \hat{u}(1) = \hat{u}'(0) = \hat{u}'(1) = 0.$$

- ▶ In 2D, assuming that the four corners of the solution are given or accurately estimated:

$$u(0, 0) = a, u(0, 1) = b, u(1, 0) = c, u(1, 1) = d.$$

Similarly we can construct a bilinear function,

$e_1(x, y) = a + (c - a)x + (b - a)y + (d + a - c - b)xy$, then

$\hat{u}(x, y) = u(x, y) - e_1(x, y)$ will have zero values on all 4 corners.

If boundary conditions $u(0, y) = a_1(y)$, $u(1, y) = a_2(y)$,

$u(x, 0) = b_1(x)$, $u(x, 1) = b_2(x)$ at $\partial\Omega$ are known a priori, then

we define $e_2(x, y) = ((1 - x)\hat{a}(y) + x\hat{a}(y)) + ((1 - y)\hat{b}(x) + y\hat{b}(x))$, then we achieve

$$\hat{u}(x, y)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \text{ where } \hat{u}(x, y) = u(x, y) - e_1(x, y) - e_2(x, y).$$

Outline

Model

Algorithms

Discretization

Key Point: Discrete version of fractional derivative. It turns out the x-direction

$$\begin{aligned} D_{[a,b]}^{\alpha} f(x_k, y_l) &= \frac{\delta_0^{\alpha} f(x_k, y_l)}{h^{\alpha}} + O(h) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\delta_-^{\alpha} f(x_k, y_l)}{h^{\alpha}} + \frac{\delta_+^{\alpha} f(x_k, y_l)}{h^{\alpha}} \right) + O(h) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(h^{-\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} w_j^{\alpha} f_{k-j+1}^l + h^{-\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{N-k+2} w_j^{\alpha} f_{k+j-1}^l \right) + O(h) \end{aligned}$$

is applicable to both R-L and C derivatives, where $f_s^l = f(x_s, y_l)$,

$$w_j^{(\alpha)} = (-1)^j \binom{\alpha}{j}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, N+1.$$

Matrix Version

Denote $w = w_0^\alpha + w_2^\alpha$, then we can write $D_{[a,b]}^\alpha f(x_k, y_l)$ into matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta_0^\alpha f(x_1, y_l) \\ \delta_0^\alpha f(x_2, y_l) \\ \vdots \\ \delta_0^\alpha f(x_N, y_l) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2h^\alpha} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 2\omega_1^\alpha & w & \omega_3^\alpha & \cdots & \omega_N^\alpha \\ w & 2\omega_1^\alpha & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \omega_3^\alpha & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \omega_3^\alpha \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 2\omega_1^\alpha & w \\ \omega_N^\alpha & \cdots & \omega_3^\alpha & w & 2\omega_1^\alpha \end{pmatrix}}_{B_N^\alpha} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} f_1^l \\ f_2^l \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ f_N^l \end{pmatrix}}_f.$$

Review the model:

$$\min_{u,d} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |d| dx + \frac{\lambda}{2} F(u) \right\}, \text{ s.t. } F(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u - z|^2 dx, d = \nabla^\alpha(u).$$

and discrete matrix version of TV:

$$\min_u \frac{\lambda}{2} \|u - z\|^2 + \|D_1 u\| + \|D_2 u\|$$

Now, it's clear, whenever you see D just replace it with B !

Discussions?